

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/722,770 11/27/2000		Jae-Hong Park	A33777	4853
75	90 04/12/2	005	EXAM	INER
BAKER BOT	TS L.L.P.		MOORE JR,	MICHAEL J
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA			
44TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK.	NY 10112-4498		2666	

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٤ (
C

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/722,770	PARK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael J. Moore, Jr.	2666	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	F	A4 11 - 14				
Bororo the rining or an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Michael J. Moore, Jr.	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a			
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apper was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per per section. 	I.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing t	the Notice of			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	∍cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		I E below);				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4-53 and 55</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,54 and 56</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefere and the figure					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No Dry	o(s)				
FRANS	CDUENG					
PRIMARY.	EXAMINER	Michael J. Moore, J. Examiner AU 2666	: MM			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Some claim objections from the previous Office Action have not been corrected. Regarding claim 25, on line 1 "step e a)4" should be "step ea4)". Regarding claim 30, on line 1, "step d 4)" should be "step d4)". Regarding claim 43, on line 1, "claims 42" should be "claim 42".

Also, regarding claim 4, in step b3), there is an objection to the phrase "related to the core network". It is suggested that this phrase be changed to "related to the asynchronous core network" to be more precise. In step b4), there is an objection to the phrase "related to the core network". It is suggested that this phrase be changed to "related to the synchronous core network" to be more precise.

Regarding claim 13, the status of this claim should be "currently amended" rather than "original" because this claim has been amended to depend upon claim 12 rather than claim 13 in Applicant's amendment. Also, "13" should be present with a strikethrough and "12" should be underlined in this claim.

Regarding claim 53, the status of this claim as "original" should be present. Currently in Applicant's amendment there is no status present regarding this claim. Regarding claim 55, the status of this claim should be "currently amended" rather than "original" because this claim has been amended from its state in the previous amendment submitted by Applicant.

FRANK DUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER